
Dialogue Conference

Legal Remedies for Resource Equity

September 15, 2014

Neue Mälzerei Berlin

Friedenstr. 91, 10249 Berlin

Legal Remedies for Resource Equity

The handling of natural resources is key to the multitude of significant global challenges we face – for example, growing inequality, human rights violations, biodiversity loss, economic crises, climate change, etc. Natural resources have traditionally played a central role in economic growth but their extraction and use have also been linked to significant environmental degradation and human rights violations. Disputes over control and extraction of natural resources are expected to intensify in coming years, bringing these issues to the forefront of international relations. This has caused many to question the adequacy of our current legal, political and economic frameworks on the regional, national and international level.

It is against this backdrop that the dialogue conference “Legal Remedies for Resource Equity” will take place. The conference will focus on the use of environmental law to prevent and mitigate the negative ecological and social impacts of global resource extraction.

The conference will take place on September 15, 2014 and is organized by the Independent Institute for Environmental Issues (UfU), the Heinrich Böll Foundation and the Environmental Law Alliance Worldwide (ELAW).

The **Independent Institute for Environmental Issues (UfU)** is both a scientific institute and a citizen-based organization. Its vision is focused on the creation of a sustainable and productive society. The department of environmental law & participation has two main focuses. The first focus is to make effective environmental law accessible for environmental lawyers and concerned citizens. The second focus is to review the applicability of environmental law in practice, using empirical studies.

www.ufu.de

The **Heinrich Böll Foundation** is a catalyst for green visions and projects, a think tank for policy reform, and an international network. We work with more than 100 project partners in over 60 countries and currently maintain 30 international offices. Fair and sustainable Resource Politics in the triangle of ecological justice, human rights and democracy are a cornerstone of our work globally.

www.boell.de

The **Environmental Law Alliance Worldwide (ELAW)** is a global alliance of attorneys, scientists and other advocates collaborating across borders to promote grassroots efforts to build a sustainable, just future and to protect the environment. More than 300 public interest advocates from 70 countries participate in the ELAW network.

The core mission of ELAW is to help grassroots environmental lawyers working in their home countries protect the environment and communities through law. ELAW helps communities speak out for clean air, clean water, and a healthy planet.

www.elaw.org**Contact:**

Unabhängiges Institut für Umweltfragen – UfU e.V.
Greifswalder Str. 4, 10405 Berlin, Germany
Fabian Stolpe
e-mail: fabian.stolpe@ufu.de
phone: +49 30 428 499 333

sponsored by

www.dbu.de

Gefördert aus Mitteln
des Kirchlichen Ent-
wicklungsdienstes
durch Brot für die
Welt-Evangelischer
Entwicklungsdienst

Program overview

| | | |
|----------|--|---|
| 9:30 am | Opening remarks and introduction of the agenda | Lili Fuhr (Heinrich Böll Foundation) Dr. Michael Zschiesche (Independent Institute for Environmental Issues/ UfU) |
| 10:20 am | Financialization of nature and resource protection | Barbara Unmüßig (Heinrich Böll Foundation) |
| 11:00 am | Speaker's Corners | 20 international environmental advocates will present current law reform, litigation and campaign work related to resource equity and resource conservation |
| 2:15 pm | Introduction of the Workshops | On the basis of the Speaker's Corners, overriding issues will be discussed in 5 parallel workshops |

Program details

| | | |
|---------|--|--|
| 9:30 am | Opening remarks and introduction of the agenda | Lili Fuhr (Heinrich Böll Foundation) Dr. Michael Zschiesche (Independent Institute for Environmental Issues/ UfU) |
|---------|--|--|



| | | |
|----------|--|---|
| 10:20 am | Financialization of nature and resource protection | <p>Barbara Unmüßig</p> <p>Barbara Unmüßig has been the President of the Heinrich Böll Foundation since 2002. She is responsible for its strategy and program development for Latin America, Africa, Asia, the Middle East, and for the Gunda Werner Institute for Feminism and Gender Democracy. Her work focuses on issues of globalization and international climate and resource policy, international agricultural policy, national and international gender policy, and the promotion of democracy. In 1991 and 1992, she managed the UN Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED) project office of the German environmental organizations in preparation for the Rio de Janeiro summit in 1992. In 1992, she was a founding member – and until 2002 spokesperson – of the Forum on Environment and Development. From 1993 until 2002 Barbara Unmüßig served as the executive chairperson of World Economy, Ecology, & Development (WEED). Her numerous contributions to periodicals and books have covered global governance, international environmental issues, and gender policy. Her publications include "Critique of the Green Economy" (2012) and "On the Value of Nature. The Merits and Perils of a New Economy of Nature" (2014).</p> |
|----------|--|---|





Strategies to keep resources under the ground

Description of the workshop 1 2:40 pm

This workshop will examine case studies in which advocates are using legal strategies to reduce the social and environmental impacts of resource extraction and keep resources under the ground. Participants will draw conclusions from the evolving case law in this area and identify approaches that support more sustainable and equitable resource extraction.


Facilitator



Lili Fuhr
 Heinrich-
 Böll-Stiftung
 Germany

About the facilitator

L. Fuhr heads the ecology and sustainable development department of the Heinrich Böll Foundation's head office in Berlin. Her focus is on international resource and climate politics.

| Speaker's Corner (SC) | Speaker | About | Presentation |
|--|---|---|---|
| SC 1 Law reform efforts to keep resources under the ground |  Mauro Figueiredo APRENDER Brazil 11:15 am | M. Figueiredo is an environmental attorney and President of the Brazilian NGO APRENDER. He has more than fifteen years of experience defending protected areas, promoting sustainable coastal management, and advocating for strong fisheries and forestry legislation. | Development of a New Mining Code in Brazil M. Figueiredo will discuss proposed mining law reforms in Brazil, which will change licensing procedures, restructure public agencies that oversee mining, and impose a revised fiscal structure. He will also explain how these reform efforts are not doing enough to protect natural resources and the quality of life for communities in areas affected by mining. |
| |  Mark Haddock University of Victoria Canada 12:15 pm | M. Haddock is a Senior Instructor at the Faculty of Law, University of Victoria, Canada and the Legal Director for the Environmental Law Centre. He specializes in natural resources and environmental law, and has worked with West Coast Environmental Law, Ecojustice Canada, the Department of Justice and the Department of Indian Affairs and Northern Development. | Independent Expert Review Panels M. Haddock will describe Canada's use of independent expert review panels to carry out environmental assessments for certain major resource extraction projects in Canada. |
| SC 2 Litigation to keep resources under the ground |  Claude Alvares Goa Foundation India 11:15 am | C. Alvares is a renowned intellectual, author, educator and environmentalist. He leads the Goa Foundation - an environmental action group which is well known for its successful initiatives to protect forests, coastlines, and biodiversity in Goa. | Iron Ore Mining in Goa C. Alvares will describe the Goa Foundation's multi-year legal campaign to control illegal iron ore mining. The court case led to a two-year ban on mining activities in the state of Goa and brought much-needed attention to problems with poor regulatory oversight of mining. The Supreme Court of India recently declared that all mining licenses are invalid and imposed a strict cap on iron ore exports. It also instituted a permanent fund to benefit future generations. |
| |  Honorable Mrs. Justice Antoinette Moore Belize 12:15 pm | Justice Moore has dedicated her legal career to defending the human rights of people living in disadvantaged communities, especially Mayan peoples in Belize. She is currently serving as a judge on the Supreme Court of Belize. | Indigenous Land Rights for Communities Affected by Oil Exploitation Justice Moore will discuss a landmark case brought to protect the land rights of Mayan communities threatened by oil exploration and development in southern Belize. The case resulted in a declaration that the Mayan communities are entitled to collectively own their traditional lands and must be consulted before any oil exploration may proceed. |

Public participation - Challenges and opportunities for local communities

| Description of the workshop 2 2:40 pm | | Facilitators | About the facilitators |
|--|---|--|--|
| This workshop will focus on particular challenges faced by local communities, including indigenous peoples, when it comes to participating in decision-making processes on resource extraction and use. Specific issues such as free, prior and informed consent, and access and benefit sharing, will be discussed through analyzing recent case law, and regional and international frameworks, along with trends adverse to public participation (SLAPPS). | |  Peter Rottner Bund Naturschutz Bayern Germany | P. Rottner is the executive director of the BUND department of Bavaria. He previously worked as a lawyer, bringing environmental challenges before the Constitutional Court. D. Teßmer is a board member of the BUND department of Hessen as well as a member of its law working group. As his principal occupation, he is a partner at the law firm <i>Philip Gerlach und Tessmer</i> , which specializes in environmental and planning law. |
| | |  Dirk Teßmer Attorney Germany | |
| Speaker's Corner (SC) | Speaker | About | Presentation |
| SC 3 Access to information, public participation & Access to Justice in environmental matters |  Carolina Neme GAIA Uruguay 11:15 pm | C. Neme is an environmental attorney and the President of GAIA, an environmental law organization. One focus of her work is the implementation of Principle 10 of the Rio Declaration through the Access Initiative (a global network promoting access to information, participation, and justice in environmental decision-making). | A New Regional Framework for Participation C. Neme will present a case study on the application of Principle 10 regarding transparency in environmental policies to the project called "Túnel Occidente-Oriente" in Antioquia, Colombia. This case study illustrates how laws are developed in Latin America based on the Aarhus Convention. |
| |  Yelyzaveta Alekseyeva Environment People Law Ukraine 12:15 am | Y. Alekseyeva is a Senior Lawyer with Environment People Law (EPL) in Ukraine. She specializes in implementation and enforcement of multilateral environmental agreements in Ukraine, particularly the Aarhus & Espoo conventions and European environmental and human rights standards. | Fighting for Transparency and Participation in Shale Gas Deals Y. Alekseyeva will speak on EPL's submission to the Aarhus Compliance Committee alleging a breach by the Ukrainian government during negotiations over the first shale gas deals in the country. The agreements in question were made with neither adequate public participation nor the preparation of an Environmental Impact Assessment, and have not even been published to this day. |
| SC 4 Free, prior and informed consent |  Marie Wilke Natural Justice Switzerland 11:15 am | M. Wilke specializes in economic global governance, international economic law and natural resources law. She works at the Centre for International Sustainable Development Law (CISDL) and Natural Justice: Lawyers for Communities and the Environment based in South Africa. | Community Protocols in the Context of Extractive Policies Protocols are often developed as a tool for communities to interact with and express their values to others, but their targeted use in the context of extractive industries or large-scale investment projects faces a very unique set of challenges due to the sheer magnitude and complexity of such projects. |
| |  Manolo Morales ECOLEX Ecuador 12:15 pm | M. Morales is an environmental attorney and the Executive Director of ECOLEX (Corporacion de Gestion y Derecho Ambiental) based in Quito, which promotes sustainable management of Ecuador's unique ecosystems and natural resources, environmental information and participation of local communities in decision-making. | Issues Around the ITT Initiative in the Yasuni National Park Civil society organizations in Ecuador are working to protect Yasuni National Park, which sits over a vast oil reserve. The government of Ecuador announced that oil drilling will proceed in Yasuni areas, following a failed initiative to garner financial support from the international community. M. Morales will discuss the strategies NGOs are using to counter this threat while facing increased restrictions on public participation. |
| SC 5 Challenges for public participation |  Jessica R. Binwani Consumers Association of Penang & Friends of the Earth Malaysia Malaysia 11:15 am | J.R. Binwani is a public interest lawyer based in Malaysia. Her main area of practice is litigation in administrative and constitutional law, environmental law, and indigenous land rights. She is a legal advisor to local non-governmental organizations in relation to consumers and environmental issues. | Strategic Lawsuits Against Public Participation (SLAPPS) Local residents in Raub, Malaysia have faced a long battle against an Australian mining company that is processing gold ore with cyanide in their community. It has been difficult for community members to achieve justice for the pollution and health impacts caused by the company's activities. J. Binwani will describe her work defending community members against SLAPP suits brought by the mining company to silence public opposition. |
| |  Luisa Arauz Environmental Advocacy Center Panama 12:15 pm | L. Arauz is an environmental attorney with CIAM (Environmental Advocacy Center) who helps communities understand water usage concessions and pursue strategic litigation to protect community rights in Panama. She has served as a legal intern for the Inter-American Court of Human Rights and as a consultant for the World Resources Institute. | Deficient EIA Procedures for Mining Operations in Panama The Supreme Court of Justice is currently examining a case filed by CIAM against the National Environmental Authority of Panama and a Canadian mining company. Panamanian authorities approved a mining project based on a deficient environmental impact assessment (EIA) and in violation of Panamanian laws. Meanwhile, the mining company is destroying a sensitive rainforest area in pursuit of gold. L. Arauz will discuss the public participation challenges facing NGOs and citizens who oppose large-scale mining in Panama. |

Accountability and Corporate Responsibility

Description of the workshop 3 2:40 pm

This workshop will summarize current issues with regard to corporate accountability for social and environmental impacts arising from resource extraction and use of fossil fuels. Participants will discuss the role of litigation as well as law reform initiatives to hold corporations responsible for the impacts of their activities and promote due diligence.

Facilitator



**Carolijn
Terwindt**

European Center
for Constitutional
and Human Rights
German

About the facilitator

C. Terwindt works for European Center for Constitutional and Human Rights. Her doctoral dissertation addressed the challenge of liberal democracies to deal with fundamental conflicts in society about, for example, political representation and natural resources. After working as a lecturer and researcher in the Netherlands and Germany, she now is a Bertha Fellow at ECCHR in the Business and Human Rights Program.

| Speaker's Corner (SC) | Speaker | About | Presentation |
|--|---|--|---|
| SC 6 Holding companies accountable for environmental impacts |  Kristin Casper Greenpeace Netherlands 11:15 am | K. Casper is Legal Counsel for Greenpeace International. She works on both strategic litigation and proactive legal defense, advising on international environmental law and legal aspects of campaigning in the areas of climate change, energy, toxics, and water. | Greenpeace's Climate Liability Project An informal but effective network of campaigning organizations and lawyers have teamed up to use the force of law to block further climate destruction, force solutions, deter investments in ecologically destructive activities, and create long-term systemic change. K. Casper will describe how these goals can be reached by implementing climate change legal actions paired with strong political and mobilization strategies. |
| |  Ruth Solano Justica Para la Naturaleza Costa Rica 12:15 pm | R. Solano is an attorney and legal consultant at Asociación Justicia para la Naturaleza, focusing environmental litigation and administrative claims in Costa Rica. | Putting a Stop to the Crucitas Gold Mine Citizens of Costa Rica welcomed a court decision striking down authorization for the Crucitas open-pit gold mine and directing the public prosecutor to investigate whether the former President and other government officials acted illegally when they fast-tracked the mine for approval. R. Solano will discuss the outcomes of this important case and successful initiatives to ban open-pit mining in Costa Rica. |
| SC 7 Law reform efforts and due diligence guidelines |  Simon Amaduobogha University of Dundee (UK) Nigeria 11:15 am | S. Amaduobogha is a barrister and has been a solicitor at the Supreme Court of Nigeria. He is currently working on the legal regime for offshore oil and gas operations as a doctoral student at the University of Dundee. He is also an active member of many professional and civil society groups such as the Association of International Petroleum Negotiators (AIPN). | Petroleum Industry Bill in Nigeria S. Amaduobogha will present on civil society advocacy and community involvement in the ongoing process of enacting a new and progressive Petroleum Industry Bill in Nigeria, especially regarding due diligence guidelines for oil and gas companies. |
| |  Michael Reckordt PowerShift Germany 12:15 pm | A studied geographer, M. Reckordt works as a coordinator of the working group on resources of "PowerShift", an organization focusing on energy, resource and climate politics. Previously, he was the executive director of "philippinenbüro", which is an independent, sociopolitical information platform dedicated to provide insights into societal and developmental issues on the Philippines. | Responsible Legislation on Mineral Sourcing in the E.U. For decades the trade in minerals, precious stones and other commodities has played a central role in funding and fuelling some of the world's most brutal conflicts. In recognition that many EU companies use and trade in these minerals, a group of NGOs is now trying to push the EU to adopt a legal framework for responsible activities and due diligence in this industry. |

Transparency in the resource sector

Description of the workshop 4 2:40 pm

This workshop will address transparency in the resource extraction sector and whether these efforts are contributing to more sustainable resource management. An area of focus will be “following the money” and shining a light on unfair agreements between resource extraction companies and host countries. Can reforms achieve more equitable resource development and bring lasting benefits to citizens?

Facilitator



Liz Mitchell
 ELAW
 USA

About the facilitator

L. Mitchell is a Staff Attorney for Environmental Law Alliance Worldwide (ELAW) and provides legal assistance to grassroots attorneys outside the U.S. who are working to protect communities and the environment. She works with attorneys around the world to advocate for stronger environmental laws, better oversight of extractive industries, and more equitable natural resource contracts.

| Speaker's Corner (SC) | Speaker | About | Presentation |
|--|--|---|--|
| SC 8 Transparency initiatives |  Dr. Collins Odote Institute for Law and Environmental Governance Kenya 11:15 am | Dr. C. Odote has worked with and for a number of governmental and non-governmental organizations developing competencies in legal research, environment and natural resource management and capacity building. He is a lecturer at the University of Nairobi and an advocate of the High Court of Kenya. | Open Governance in the Extractive Industry in Africa Kenya is facing unprecedented attention from resource extraction companies following recent discoveries of oil, gas, and coal. After adopting a new constitution in 2010, Kenya is undergoing extensive legal reforms in resource governance and extraction. C. Odote will discuss efforts to strengthen governance and transparency for the benefit of the public. |
| |  Marinke Van Riet Publish What You Pay United Kingdom 12:15 pm | M. Van Riet is the Director of the civil society movement Publish What You Pay (PWYP) based in London. She previously worked at Marie Stopes International (provider of sexual and reproductive healthcare services) in many African countries. Since then she has been fighting for sustainable management and fair governance of natural resources. | Demanding a Fair Deal: The Publish What You Pay (PWYP) Journey PWYP is an effort in the US and EU to require companies to publicly report how much they pay governments for access to oil, gas and minerals. M. Van Riet will describe how the campaign has shifted its focus towards ensuring a fair deal for citizens and optimizing revenues, rather than anticorruption. |
| SC 9 Contracts and concessions |  Dr. Rugemeleza Nshala Lawyers' Environmental Action Team Tanzania 11:15 am | Dr. R. Nshala holds an LLM and SJD from Harvard Law School. He co-founded Lawyers' Environmental Action Team (LEAT), one of the first public interest environmental law organizations in eastern and central Africa. Dr. Nshala has researched and written widely on human rights and environmental protection issues. His current work calls attention to the inequities of natural resource extraction in Sub-Saharan Africa. | Legal Regimes Governing Extractives in Africa Reforms in Sub-Saharan countries have not brought in the promised results, as mining companies have been able to rake in billions of dollars and pay miniscule revenues to host countries. A closer look at Mineral Development Agreements and Production Sharing Agreements can help explain the state of affairs and bring about changes to help African countries receive maximum revenues from their mineral, oil and gas resources. |
| |  Johnny West OpenOil Germany 12:15 pm | J. West is a social entrepreneur and writer with 20 years professional experience in and around the oil industry. He has consulted, among others, for the United Nations on oil and the public policy aspects of this extractive sector. He is the founder of Open Oil, a Berlin-based consultancy in oil and other extractive industries. | A Fair Deal in Extractives – The Company Profit Related-Contract Contemporary extractive industries contracts often lead to unacceptable inequalities between powerful companies and poor host countries. J. West will explain how shifting these contracts to a new basis – explicitly modeling company returns on capital, and making them the central feature of fiscal terms – could improve transparency and equity. |

Financialization of Nature

Description of the workshop 5 2:40 pm

This workshop will address the recent trend of trying to protect nature by treating it as "natural capital" and setting a price on various "ecosystem services", like CO2 storage in forests, or water filtration in wetlands. This approach treats environmental harm as a problem caused by the lack of clear prices: we use nature up because it costs us nothing. The workshop will ask whether this economic approach is an effective way of protecting the environment, or rather an extension of the same way of thinking which leads to environmental harm in the first place. It will also discuss concrete examples of this market approach, such as the REDD+ program and carbon trading, and their effects on local (especially indigenous) populations.

Facilitator



Jutta Kill
 Author and
 activist
 Germany

About the facilitator

J. Kill is a biologist, forest and climate change campaigner, researcher and activist. Until 2012, she was the coordinator of the climate campaign of FERN, an environmental and social justice organization focusing on the impact of EU policies on forest and forest peoples' rights. She has published widely with a focus on the intersection of climate change, emissions trading and forests.

| Speaker's Corner (SC) | Speaker | About | Presentation |
|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| SC 10 Financialization |  André Barreto Terra de Direitos Carta de Belém Group Brazil 11:15 am | A. Barreto is a legal consultant for the human rights organization Terra de Direitos based in Brazil and member of the Carta de Belém Group. Next to this involvement, he works as a lawyer with social movements peasants in cases of agrarian conflict and socio-biodiversity. | Financialization of Nature in the Brazilian Forest Code The new Brazilian Forest Code includes for the first time provisions providing for market instruments in the protection of the environment, such as positive incentives and sanctions for individuals. A. Barreto will explain how this shift from the Brazilian command and control system to a cap and trade system represents a harmful turn towards financialization of nature. |
| |  Manju Menon Namati India 12:15 pm | M. Menon is a member of Namati, an Indian organization which aims to build a movement for legal empowerment. Her areas of expertise are environmental law-making and implementation processes related to the siting and regulation of infrastructure projects. She is a PhD candidate at Jawaharlal Nehru University | Banking on Forest Loss for Economic Growth Well before strategies to combat climate change became popular concepts, the Indian government had a system of offsetting forest loss due to extractive industry and infrastructure projects. Initially forests lost were to be compensated for materially. But since 2005, monetary valuation of forests has been added to the implementation framework. M. Manon will discuss the implications of this shift. |



FINANCIALIZATION OF NATURE IN THE BRAZILIAN FOREST CODE

**ANDRE BARRETO /
BRAZIL**

Terra de Direitos and other civil society partner associations filed a request to qualify as amicus curiae in legal actions alleging the unconstitutionality of the Brazilian New Forest Code (Lei 12.651/2012) in front of the Federal Supreme Court. The cause of action was that the legal effect of this Forest Code implies reduced protection of vegetation cover located in protected territorial areas, violating the fundamental right to an ecologically balanced environment and the constitutional principle of the social function of rural property. Chapter X of the Code, which is questioned in one of the constitutional actions, also establishes Payment of Environmental Services as a form of environmental compensation and an instrument for protecting biodiversity as well as Quotas of Environmental Reserve (CRA), the first instrument of financialization of nature in Brazil. The four legal actions, which have already been filed, now await a public hearing where representatives of civil society will discuss the legal question and lower court holdings. Finally, the decision will be made by 11 judge-ministers of the Court.

DATE OF DECISION

Pending

NAME OF THE CASE

Federal Public Prosecutor's Office and Socialism and Liberty Party; Terra de Direitos and others as "amicus curiae" vs National Congress and Presidency of the Republic

MAIN TOPICS

Forest Code / Payment of Environmental Services / Control of Constitutionality / Fundamental Right to Environment

CONTACT

André Barreto: andre@terradedireitos.org.br



INDIGENOUS LAND RIGHTS AND EXTRACTION OF RESOURCES

ANTOINETTE MOORE / BELIZE

The Maya people in the Toledo district of Belize employ a traditional governance system in each of their villages, which incorporates collective control over the lands and resources. The communities had historically negotiated with government for the recognition of their rights and ownership of the lands. Nevertheless, the government essentially behaved as if these lands were nationally owned. Thus, after other measures had failed, the Maya leadership and communities decided in the mid-1990's to pursue litigation to affirm and protect their rights. Several decisions from the Supreme Court established that Maya customary land tenure exists in southern Belize in the claimants' villages. As a consequence, the Maya people who occupy these villages have rights to the land and resources on the land they traditionally use and occupy. In these cases, the government and third parties were enjoined from doing anything to affect the value and use of Maya land, without the consent of the Maya people who own, use and occupy that land. Even if the following appeals have been trying to dilute these findings, this cases have established a new paradigm in the treatment of the Maya people as well as opened public discourse about oil drilling in a national park.

DATE OF DECISION

October 2007, June 2010, July 2013

NAME OF THE CASE

Maya Leaders Alliance and Toledo Alcaldes Association and others vs Government of Belize

MAIN TOPICS

Indigenous rights to land and resources / Requirement of Free, Prior and Informed Consent from the indigenous group for any activities on their lands

CONTACT

Antoinette Moore: moorelawbze@gmail.com





UNIVERSIDAD
NACIONAL
DE COLOMBIA
SEDE MEDELLÍN
FACULTAD DE MINAS



A NEW REGIONAL FRAMEWORK FOR PARTICIPATION

**CAROLINA NEME /
URUGUAY**

Due to a lack of public resources, countries of Latin America and the Caribbean need to find alternative sources of funds in the private sector - through the so-called 'concession agreements' - in order to meet the challenges of economic liberalization.

These 'semi-privatized' infrastructure projects have significant negative impact on the environment and the communities dependent on it. In light of these events, the rights embodied in the P10 (access to information, participation and justice rights in environmental matters) and established at the 1992 Rio Conference are instrumental to the transparency and legitimacy of public policies and also for democratic government. They represent a new governance model, which has become a condition for the prevention of socio-environmental conflicts.

As a case in point, the comparative case study is based on two road infrastructure projects, the Western and Eastern Bridge, in the department of Antioquia in Colombia. In spite of environmental disasters, financial losses and high level of conflict linked to the lack of implementation of the P10 tools in the construction of the Western Tunnel, once again history is repeating itself on the construction of the Eastern one, on the other side of the city of Medellín. This tunnel, however, is double the size of its predecessor while causing the same levels of social conflict and the same intentions of regional and international development undeterred by local needs or wishes.

DATE OF ISSUANCE

14 June 1992

NAME OF LEGISLATION

Principle 10 Rio Declaration

MAIN TOPIC

Governance and transparency in public environmental policies

TARGET OF LEGISLATION


Investors / Public authorities / Civil society

SOURCES

The Access Initiative:
<http://www.earthtrends.wri.org/es/sobre-tai>

CONTACT

neme.carolina@gmail.com



Special thanks goes to the project MGSAL for its generous support.



FIGHTING FOR REGULATION OF MINING ACTIVITIES IN GOA

CLAUDE ALVARES /
INDIA

The small state of Goa has suffered gross environmental damage to its ecosystems from indiscriminate iron ore/mangagese mining and complete lack of regulation by the statutory authorities. From being a source of income to miners, the activity turned into a nightmare for all, except a few powerful mining companies (including Vedanta) which ran away with windfall profits.

The Supreme Court blocked all mining once the petition was placed before it. Mining was eventually shut down for two full years. In the third year, it is yet to commence. However, it is to be expected that the regulatory authorities will be better placed to enforce regulations.

The Court itself has imposed a cap of 20 million tonnes annual extraction in the interests of intergenerational equity and directed that 10% of the sale proceeds of mining activity will henceforth be deposited in a Permanent Fund.

DATE OF DECISION

21 April 2014

NAME OF THE CASE

GOA Foundation vs Union of India & Ors.

MAIN TOPICS

Mining, intergenerational equity, interpretation of mining law on renewal, illegal mining, permanent fund

SOURCES

www.goafoundation.org/mining
Decision can be found at: www.judis.nic.in

CONTACT

Claude Alvares: goafoundation@gmail.com



OPEN GOVERNANCE IN THE EXTRACTIVE INDUSTRY

COLLINS ODOTE / KENYA

The oil and mineral finds that should bring excitement and pride to African countries also come with considerable anxieties illustrated by the well-known phrase: “African resource curse”. Many are expressing concern that exploration and extraction of oil and minerals may lead to further impoverishment of local communities, serious environmental degradation and resource-based conflicts. It is against this backdrop that Institute for Law and Environmental Governance (ILEG), in partnership with The Open Society Initiative for East Africa (OSIEA), are working to establish the Open Governance in the Extractive Sector Initiative (OGSI). The idea is to build a constituency and consolidate ideas on how best to promote and influence open and transparent governance in the oil & extractive sector in Kenya and Africa. This Phase comprises a series of constructive dialogues among civil society organizations on understanding the salient issues germane to this vast challenge. Questions raised seek to underscore the range and scope of policies, laws and procedures for land, environment and mining sectors, as well as ways and models of securing the socio-economic rights and livelihoods of rural communities in mineral rich localities. The main activities in this development phase include research and a series of expert, national, and regional conventions.

START & END DATES

Ongoing

TARGETS OF THE CAMPAIGN

African Governments / Public authorities/ Civil society / Local communities

MAIN TOPICS

Governance and transparency / Extractive industry

GOAL OF THE CAMPAIGN

Achieve progressive legal reforms in the Constitution and Ongoing Legal Bills

SOURCES

www.ilegkenya.org/index.php/advancing-transparent-and-sustainable-governance-in-kenya-s-oil-and-extractive-sector

CONTACT

Dr. Collins Odote: c.odote@ilegkenya.org





STRATEGIC LAWSUIT AGAINST PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

JESSICA BINWANI /
MALAYSIA

An application for judicial review was filed in 2008 against the Department of Environment and Raub Australian Gold Mining, questioning the approval of an EIA for a gold extraction plant using sodium cyanide, which commenced operations only 10 years after the EIA approval. The residents of the affected area asked for a detailed EIA (which requires public participation) in view of the use of cyanide compounds in the carbon-in-leach plant set up by the company.

The court threw out the judicial review application on the basis that the community came too late to court for relief, and did not give sufficient reasons for the delay. The court also found that the refusal to allow a detailed EIA did not amount to a justiciable decision.

In early 2009, the plant began operations and almost immediately the villagers began to suffer all sorts of ailments, including shortness of breath, skin irritation, watery eyes, etc. After trying to convince the authorities that the cyanide plant had something to do with what they were suffering, and the authorities continuously denying this, the villagers held press conferences.

Resulting from these press conferences, the mining company has now filed five strategic lawsuits against public participations (SLAPP), three against three villagers, and two against online portals which carried the news. How can these SLAPP suits be fought?

DATE OF DECISION & NAME OF THE CASE

2012 - People Of Bukit Koman Village in Raub, Malaysia vs Raub Australian Gold Mining and Department Of Environment

Pending - Raub Australian Gold Mining vs Wong Kin Hoong & Hue Fui How


MAIN TOPICS

Inadequate Environmental Impact Assessment / Lack of disclosure / Libel / Slander

CONTACT

Jessica Binwani: jessrb@gmail.com





A FAIR DEAL IN EXTRACTIVES

**JOHNNY WEST /
GERMANY**

It has become a truism that many of the big oil and mining companies dwarf the governments they deal with in terms of turnover, technical expertise and sometimes effective personnel. The impact can clearly be seen in contractual arrangements in the extractive industries which result in projects, which all too often result in company super-profits while the host states are left with too little to show for it. The proposal discussed here is to create a new norm around oil, gas and mining contracts that concentrates not on what the government will receive, but on what the companies get. More specifically, contracts should assure companies a specific rate of return on their investment, or take what might be called a “cost-plus” approach. This would satisfy the legitimate sphere of investor concern, the return on investment of their resources. Then the government gets the rest. This would challenge governments to truly understand the contracts they have signed and ensure that they are properly implemented. Furthermore, it should help expose the enormous base claimed by companies to proper scrutiny and may lead to projects being reconsidered altogether.

START & END DATES

OpenOil founded in 2010, continuous campaign

TARGET OF THE CAMPAIGN

Big oil and mining companies & host country governments.

MAIN TOPIC

Resource extraction contracts

GOAL OF THE CAMPAIGN

Explicitly modeling company profits and making them the central feature of fiscal agreements

SOURCES

www.openoil.net

CONTACT

Johnny West: johnny.west@openoil.net



GREENPEACE'S CLIMATE LIABILITY PROJECT

KRISTIN CASPER / JASPER TEULINGS
THE NETHERLANDS

An informal but effective network of campaigning organisations and lawyers have teamed up to use the force of law to block further climate destruction, force solutions, deter investments in ecologically destructive activities, and create long-term systemic change. They are doing this by developing and implementing climate change legal actions paired with strong political and mobilization strategies. Regarding this climate liability project Greenpeace has three main objectives: First, to revoke the social license of fossil fuel companies by closing the myth-gap that we are all equally responsible for climate change, and all equally benefit from carbon producing activities, by demonstrating that the fossil fuel extractors are primarily responsible for current and future climate impacts. Second, to create climate change liability as a new regulatory, litigation, financial and reputational risk for big polluters by demonstrating uncertainty in the future profitability of selected projects. Third, to establish legal precedents in several countries with transnational implications that serve to undermine the ability of fossil fuel companies to continue to drill and mine. Achieving those goals will get us closer to the aim of breaking the stranglehold the fossil fuel industry has on the future we all want and deserve.

START & END DATES

2013 - ongoing 

TARGETS OF THE CAMPAIGN

Fossil fuel companies / investors / insurers / securities regulators / others

MAIN TOPICS

Climate accountability / Liability / Responsibility, and risks arising from fossil fuel extractors / GHG emissions and involvement in denial

SOURCES

This poster is based on research and publications produced by the Center for International Environmental Law, Climate Justice Programme, Greenpeace offices worldwide, WWF International, and many others.

For background information on Greenpeace's climate liability project, please see: Greenpeace International, "Climate liability: Who pays the bill for climate denial?", 28 May 2014, available at: <http://www.greenpeace.org/international/en/campaigns/climate-change/impacts/liability/>

CONTACT

Jasper Teulings, General Counsel & Advocaat :
jasper.teulings@greenpeace.org

Kristin Casper, Legal Counsel Campaigns & Actions :
kristin.casper@greenpeace.org

Creative Commons:
www.carbonvisuals.com





DEFICIENT EIA PROCEDURE FOR MINING OPERATION

**LUISA ARAUZ
/ PANAMA**

The Canadian mining company Petaquilla Gold, S.A. began mining operations in a sensitive rainforest area in 2005 without an approved EIA. During this period, Petaquilla destroyed over 100 hectares of rainforest, as well as polluting several important rivers through the use of lead nitrate for the leaching process. The company finally presented an EIA only two years after it had begun operations, but it was initially rejected by the National Environmental Authority. However, less than six months after, Petaquilla presented a new, EIA application which did not include a risk prevention plan, a fauna rescue and relocation plan, a contingency plan, or an environmental-post operation rescue plan. In spite of the negative technical opinions of several government authorities involved in the EIA process which stated that the project would impact 53% of the river basin, lead to pollution of air and land, loss of forest coverage and collapse of walls and tailings dams for water, and use inappropriate waste material disposal, amongst many other irreversible impacts, the National Environmental Authority approved the EIA presented by Petaquilla Gold, which clearly breached the Panamanian environmental law framework.

DATE OF DECISION

pending

NAME OF THE CASE

Environmental Advocacy Center of Panama (CIAM) vs National Environmental Authority, Petaquilla Gold

MAIN TOPICS

Lack of approved Environmental Impact Assessment, breach of administrative environmental law, Human Rights violations, environmental damage to protected areas

SOURCES

<http://www.prensa.com/impreso/panorama/petaquilla-fuera-de-sus-limites/175716>
<http://www.petaquilla.com/projects.aspx>

CONTACT

Luisa Arauz: larauz@ciampanama.org



The endangered Donoso region is home to sensitive species such as the Three-Toed Sloth.

BANKING ON FOREST LOSS FOR ECONOMIC GROWTH

**MANJU MENON /
INDIA**

Well before the strategies to combat climate change became popular concepts, the Indian government had a system of offsetting forest loss due to extractive industry and infrastructure projects under the legal framework of the Forest (Conservation) Act (FCA), 1980. Initially forests lost had to be materially compensated. But since 2005, monetary valuation of forests has been added to the implementation framework. The dual strategies of valuation and compensation that govern the mechanics of the FCA have converted forests into decontextualised, mobile and tradable commodities between regions. Through the case study of the FCA, we see that there is a continuity between domestic regulation on forests and the abstractions created by the climate change discourse. If good outcomes have escaped the FCA, what can we expect from the conservation strategies under the climate change regime?

DATE OF ISSUANCE

25 October 1980

NAME OF LEGISLATION


Forest (Conservation) Act

MAIN TOPICS

Evolution of the implementation framework / Pressure of monetary valuation of forests

CONTACT

Manju Menon: manjumenon1975@gmail.com



ISSUES AROUND ITT INITIATIVE IN YASUNI NATIONAL PARK

**MANOLO MORALES /
ECUADOR**

The Yasuni-ITT Initiative was the proposal by the government of Ecuador to refrain indefinitely from exploiting the oil reserves of the Ishpingo-Tambococha-Tiputini (ITT) oil field within the Yasuni National Park, in exchange of 50% of the value of the reserves, or \$3.6 billion over 13 years from the international community. The aim of the initiative was to conserve biodiversity, protect indigenous peoples living in voluntary isolation, and avoid the release of CO₂ emissions. In July 2013, a commission on the Yasuni-ITT Initiative concluded that the economic results were insufficient, leading Ecuador's president to scrap the plan the following month. Civil society organizations are still attempting to save Yasuni-ITT from oil activities even if their participation has been limited during the whole process. In this regard, Ecolex aims to obtain a referendum so the Ecuadorian citizens can decide; stop drilling oil from block 31 of the Yasuni National Park; achieve that the decision given by the national assembly authorizing the government to start this activity be motivated; obtain the facilities to observe the oil activities which already started in the park; and build strategies to defend public interests at national and international courts.

START & END DATES

2012 - Ongoing

TARGET OF THE CAMPAIGN

National and local governments

MAIN TOPIC

Oil exploitation and governance conflicts / Land-use planning and management of the Yasuni National Park

GOAL OF THE CAMPAIGN

Organizing a comprehensive management for Yasuni territories / Obtain free prior and informed consent from the local communities for oil drilling projects

CONTACT

Manolo Morales: mmorales@ecolex-ec.org



COMMUNITY PROTOCOLS AND EXTRACTIVE INDUSTRIES

MARIE WILKE /
SWITZERLAND

There remains an undeniable link between environmental, social and cultural destruction caused by extractive industries and threats to the rights of Indigenous peoples and local communities. The consultation of affected communities by public and private implementers of investment projects is key to mitigating these threats and to realizing communities' rights even in the context of large-scale resources extraction projects.

One tool for empowering communities to initiate and engage in constructible and proactive dialogue are bi-cultural community protocols; or simply community protocols. The term is used to describe both a process and an outcome that documents a community's territory, customary laws, institutions and decision-making systems as well as many other elements.

Natural Justice, with the support of the Heinrich Böll Foundation is supporting communities in Argentina, India, Kenya and Zimbabwe in developing community protocols and in engaging with mining investors in their areas on the basis of the protocols and the related processes.

The aim is twofold: to provide direct, context-specific support to the communities in their communities in their engagements with external investment stakeholders; and to learn from the processes with a view to identifying best practices.

START & END DATES

2014-2016

TARGET OF THE CAMPAIGN

Communities in the respective areas/ Investors and relevant public stakeholders

MAIN TOPIC

Extractive industries

LINK TO CAMPAIGN

www.naturaljustice.org

SOURCES

S. Booker, M. Wilke et al, Exploring The Development And Use Of Biocultural Community Protocols To Help Secure Community Interests And Rights In Relation To Extractive Industries: A Framework Methodology (Natural Justice 2014).

CONTACT

Marie Wilke: mcawilke@gmail.com



PUBLISH WHAT YOU PAY EXTRACTING THE TRUTH

MARINKE VAN RIET / THE NETHERLANDS

The mandatory disclosures campaign is at the core of PWYP and has been so right from its inception in 2002. Research showed that a lack of financial transparency in the gas, oil and mining sector abetted mismanagement, corruption and in some cases conflict and hence the call was made for the companies to 'publish what they pay' and the communities to 'publish what they receive'. In response to the campaign the voluntary multistakeholder Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative was set up which PWYP supports. However due to its voluntary nature PWYP has continued the demand for mandatory disclosures through a well-coordinated, evidence-based, professional advocacy approach combining the northern PWYP coalitions (US, UK, Canada, EU) working with southern coalitions. The results have been a wave of successes from the US- Dodd-Frank Section 1504 (2010) and the EU Transparency and Accounting Directives (2013) to Canada committing to mandatory disclosures by April 2015. What is particularly worth noting is that in the last couple of years the campaign is focused more on ensuring a fair deal for citizens and optimizing revenues rather than on anticorruption. This is especially true for recent resource discoveries in East and Southern Africa combined with the declining aid climate in which domestic resource mobilization becomes paramount.

START & END DATES

2010 - ongoing

TARGETS OF THE CAMPAIGN

gas, oil and mining companies; political representatives

MAIN TOPICS

transparency and accountability, fair deal for natural resources

SOURCES

www.publishwhatyoupay.org
www.extractingthetruth.org

CONTACT

James Royston:
jroyston@publishwhatyoupay.org



INDEPENDENT REVIEW PANELS FOR EIA

**MARK HADDOCK /
CANADA**

For some major projects in Canada, the federal government appoints independent expert review panels to carry out environmental assessments. These panels use a quasi-judicial hearing process that allows for sworn evidence given under oath, cross-examination of witnesses, orders for document production and other “inquiry powers” to ensure that the quality of evidence concerning a proposed project is credible, and that it is reviewed by independent experts not employed by the proponent or government.

The benefits of this independent review process can be illustrated by the proposed “Prosperity” copper-gold mine in British Columbia. This mine was assessed by two levels of government: 1) the British Columbia (provincial) government, which used an internal, bureaucratic process that only identified one “significant adverse effect,” and approved the mine on the basis that the effect could be mitigated by an artificial lake; 2) the Canadian (federal) government appointed an independent review panel that found eight significant adverse effects, and determined that they could not be mitigated. These findings and the rationale for decision-making show that independent experts engaged in a quasi-judicial hearing process can be superior to and more credible than bureaucratic processes in assessing environmental impacts of large development projects. The use of independent panels has resulted in rejection of several large mining projects, when compared to bureaucratic assessment processes. The difficulty we face is that this type of independent assessment is discretionary, and is not applied as frequently as it should be.

DATE OF ISSUANCE

06 July 2012

NAME OF LEGISLATION

Canadian Environmental Assessment Act

TARGET OF LEGISLATION

Major industrial project proponents / Mining, oil & gas companies

SOURCES

Canadian Environmental Assessment Act; Prosperity Mine Federal Review Panel Report; Comparison of the BC and Federal Environmental Assessments for the Prosperity Mine
http://northwestinstitute.ca/images/uploads/NWL_EAreport_July2011.pdf

CONTACT

Mark Haddock: mhaddock@uvic.ca





DEVELOPMENT OF A NEW MINING CODE IN BRAZIL

**MAURO FIGUEIREDO /
BRAZIL**

The new Mining Code adopts provisions concerning mining activities, creates the National Council of Mineral Policy and the National Agency of Mining. The text proposes, in general, three changes in legislation: Change the method for granting mining licenses; reformulate the management and organization of public agencies; and institute a new tax policy for the sector.

One change sought to this new law is also to create mining free zones, including protected areas, sites of historical importance and water catchment basins for urban centers, primary forests, among others. An existing example is the west of São Paulo where areas are classified into three categories: preferential (no restrictions for operations), subsidiary (mining must comply with certain restrictions) and blocked (banned due to legal, environmental or local occupancy). However, proposed changes from the rapporteur of the matter in the Chamber of Deputies are making the text worse. The deputy was founded from mining companies in his election campaign. Brazilian civil society is working to improve the text and to remove the rapporteur from the process, since it is evident that his parliamentary behaviour violates the code of ethics of the Chamber of Deputies.

DATE OF ISSUANCE

Bill published on 20 June 2013

NAME OF LEGISLATION

Bill 5807/2013 (New Mining Code)

TARGET OF LEGISLATION

Mining companies / Public bodies

MAIN TOPICS

Transparency / Definition of no mining zones /
Protected areas / Access rights

SOURCES

Link to Legislation: <http://tinyurl.com/py36j4g>
Interview with Raul do Valle: <http://tinyurl.com/k8v3mwm>
Public Debate: <http://tinyurl.com/mt3ttus>

CONTACT

Mauro Figueiredo: mauro@aprender.org.br



RESPONSIBLE LEGISLATION ON MINERAL SOURCING IN E.U.

MICHAEL RECKORDT / GERMANY

For decades the trade in minerals, precious stones and other commodities has played a central role in funding and fuelling some of the world's most brutal conflicts. Natural resources that have funded war and human rights abuses around the world are used and traded internationally, including by EU-based companies. As a result, companies operating in the EU risk fuelling the very conflicts that are the focus of European aid flows, international post-conflict reconstruction efforts and UN peacekeeping operations. In the absence of a law compelling European companies to responsibly source the natural resources they use, consumers and governments have no guarantee that products sold in the EU containing these resources do not fuel violence and conflict. The EU-Core-Group was formed before this campaign started. The organizations worked together on raw material issues before. AK Rohstoffe analysed the EU process on the legislation and see it as one possibility to tackle human rights violation in the context of mining. AK Rohstoffe / PowerShift joined the campaign in autumn 2013, also because German industry is an important consumer of raw materials and particularly so called "conflict minerals" (gold, tantal, tin, tungsten). They published a German position and they are lobbying for binding due diligence standards within Germany as well as supporting the European network.

START & END DATES

2013 - Ongoing

TARGETS OF THE CAMPAIGN

European Union Commission and Parliament / European companies in extractive industry

MAIN TOPICS

Raw Materials / Due Diligence / Human Rights / Conflict Minerals

GOAL OF THE CAMPAIGN

Ensuring robust EU legislation on responsible mineral sourcing

SOURCES

vgl. http://www.globalwitness.org/sites/default/files/library/BreakingtheLinks_ENG.pdf

CONTACT

Michael Reckordt: michael.reckordt@power-shift.de





LEGAL REGIMES REGARDING EXTRACTIVES IN AFRICA

**RUGEMELEZA NSHALA /
TANZANIA**

In the 1990s sub-Saharan countries started to privatize their mineral, gas and oil resources and allowed foreign mining companies to own these precious resources. They passed laws that offer generous incentives to foreign companies including tax holidays, accelerated depreciation, free repatriation of profit, free transfers of assets, legal and fiscal stability and signing of mineral development agreements and production sharing agreements. The reforms, however, have not brought in the promised results, as mining companies have been able to rake in billions of dollars and pay miniscule revenues to African countries. Lawyers Environmental Action Team's (LEAT) campaign aims at redressing this state of affairs and enabling African countries to receive maximum revenues from their mineral, oil and gas resources.

START & END DATES

2013 - ongoing

TARGETS OF THE CAMPAIGN

Sub-Saharan African Governments / Civil Society Organizations / Members of the public

MAIN TOPICS

Legal regimes governing Oil, Gas and Minerals Exploitation / Mineral Development Agreements / Production Sharing Agreements

GOAL OF THE CAMPAIGN

Enabling Sub-Saharan African nations to receive maximum benefits from mineral, oil and gas resources

CONTACT

Dr. Rugemeleza A.K Nshala: rugemeleza@gmail.com



CRUCITAS GOLD MINE SHUT DOWN

RUTH SOLANO / COSTA RICA

In 2008, the Costa Rican State declared of public and national interest the Crucitas mining project, which was undertaken by the Canadian company Industrias Infinito S.A., and involved an open-pit gold mine previously authorized by Setena, which granted its environmental viability, and by the Mine and Geology Agency, which declared that the project was fully-compliant with the technical criteria, allowing gold mining in the region. Civil society organizations requested the Court Of First Instance - in charge of civil and administrative disputes - to hold a hearing with a demand of invalidity of the actions arising from Setena, Mine and Geology Agency, Ministry of Energy, Mines and Telecommunication, and the Executive Order who declared the Crucitas mining project of public and national interest. Therefore, such rulings as well as the Executive Order are rendered null and void because they constitute a violation of the constitution and the law, as well as fraud of law and due process. The Court partially accepted to review the claims brought by plaintiffs and sentenced the defendant Industrias Infinito S.A., the State and the National System of Protected Areas to provide full compensation for the environmental damage caused by clear-cutting in the land of the mining company. Moreover, the judgment was forwarded to the Public Prosecutor's Office in order to decide whether a criminal case against several representatives of those state agencies should be filed. The legal, social and economic impact of this landmark decision has been warmly welcomed throughout the nation.

DATE OF DECISION

14 December 2010

NAME OF THE CASE

Asociación Preservacionista de Flora y Fauna Silvestre (Association for Preservation of the Wildlife, hereinafter referred to as APREFLOFAS) , Jorge Arturo Lobo Segura vs the State, Industrias Infinito S.A., Sistema Nacional de Áreas de Conservación

MAIN TOPICS

Public authorities abusive authorisation for minings / Environmental viability / Liability of State and private companies / Environmental compensation

CONTACT

Ruth Solano: rsolanov05@gmail.com





THE PETROLEUM INDUSTRY BILL

SIMON AMADUOBOGHA / NIGERIA

The "Petroleum Industry Bill" (PIB), as it is popularly called, has been intensely debated for years in Nigeria and beyond. The first bill as proposed in 2008 was harshly criticized by social and environmental groups as it failed to provide adequate legal guarantees for oil communities. And despite several amendments, the bill ultimately failed to be passed by the National Assembly. In 2012 a new bill has been proposed. Even if it is seen as a bold attempt to make access to laws governing oil and gas industry easier both for investors and regulators, that is not the case as most of the regulations are left for the Minister in charge to make. Among other critics that can be made to this bill, there is still the need to improve the environmental protection provisions. Especially, to provide for a ban on gas flaring and adopt the polluter pays principle. In relation to the acquisition of land for oil and gas activities, the bill should make it mandatory for oil and gas companies to meet the land owners in their communities, enter into agreement with them, and pay all rents and compensation before entering the land to commence any form of activity. Though one of its section urges the oil and gas companies to have developmental programmes, the PIB did not provide for Community Development Agreements to be entered between communities and the operating oil and gas companies. It is strongly recommended do so. Finally, for any natural resource rights to be effectively and successfully canvassed for in Nigeria, there must be a repeal of the obnoxious Land Use Act.

DATE OF ISSUANCE

Bill proposed on 18 July 2012

NAME OF LEGISLATION

The Petroleum Industry Bill (PIB)

MAIN TOPIC


Law-making Process and NGO participation & Transparency / Community Participation and Environmental Rights / Resource ownership

TARGET OF LEGISLATION

Government Agencies / IOC / National Oil Companies / Indigenous Companies / Host Communities / Investors

CONTACT

Simon Amaduobogha: amadusway@yahoo.com





THE PETROLEUM INDUSTRY BILL

SIMON AMADUOBOGHA / NIGERIA

The "Petroleum Industry Bill" (PIB), as it is popularly called, has been intensely debated for years in Nigeria and beyond. The first bill as proposed in 2008 was harshly criticized by social and environmental groups as it failed to provide adequate legal guarantees for oil communities. And despite several amendments, the bill ultimately failed to be passed by the National Assembly. In 2012 a new bill has been proposed. Even if it is seen as a bold attempt to make access to laws governing oil and gas industry easier both for investors and regulators, that is not the case as most of the regulations are left for the Minister in charge to make. Among other critics that can be made to this bill, there is still the need to improve the environmental protection provisions. Especially, to provide for a ban on gas flaring and adopt the polluter pays principle. In relation to the acquisition of land for oil and gas activities, the bill should make it mandatory for oil and gas companies to meet the land owners in their communities, enter into agreement with them, and pay all rents and compensation before entering the land to commence any form of activity. Though one of its section urges the oil and gas companies to have developmental programmes, the PIB did not provide for Community Development Agreements to be entered between communities and the operating oil and gas companies. It is strongly recommended do so. Finally, for any natural resource rights to be effectively and successfully canvassed for in Nigeria, there must be a repeal of the obnoxious Land Use Act.

DATE OF ISSUANCE

Bill proposed on 18 July 2012

NAME OF LEGISLATION

The Petroleum Industry Bill (PIB)

MAIN TOPIC

Law-making Process and NGO participation & Transparency / Community Participation and Environmental Rights / Resource ownership

TARGET OF LEGISLATION

Government Agencies / IOC / National Oil Companies / Indigenous Companies / Host Communities / Investors

CONTACT

Simon Amaduobogha: amadusway@yahoo.com

