

FIFTH AARHUS WORKSHOP

Human Rights, European & National Climate Laws and the Importance of the Aarhus Convention

7 September 2021

9.00 to 13.00



Workshop Team



Larissa Donges

Moderation



Polona Valič

Moderation



Senka Šifkovič Vrbica

Speaker



Kathleen Pauleweit

Speaker



Chantal Brunotte

Technical Support
(ENG; GER; PT)

+49 (0)30 – 4284 993 31
chantal.brunotte@ufu.de



Welcome

Dr Vasilka Sancin, Associate Professor at University of Ljubljana &
Director of Centre for International and Business Law

Agenda I

9.15	Presentation 1 Human Rights & Aarhus Convention in the European Union with subsequent round of questions (Dr Vasilka Sancin)
10.00	Presentation 2 Access to Justice for Climate: Landmark Ruling by the Federal Constitutional Court & its Relevance for the Supranational Legal Order with subsequent round of questions (Dr Roda Verheyen, LL.M.)
10.15	<i>5-min. break</i>
10.20	Keynote Environmental NGO Perspective on Slovenian Presidency of the Council of the European Union regarding Environmental Matters (Mag Senka Šifkovič Vrbica)
10.30	Presentation 3 Implications of the Amendment to the Aarhus Regulation & EU Climate Law with subsequent round of questions (Sebastian Bechtel, LL.M.)

Agenda II

10.45	Panel Discussion 1 Expectations on upcoming MOP 7 & EU's Compliance with the Aarhus Convention with subsequent round of discussion (Mag Tanja Pucelj Vidovič, Matthias Sauer, Alistair McGlone)
11.30	<i>10-min. break</i>
11.40	Panel Discussion 2 Public Participation & Access to Justice on EU & Member State Level with subsequent round of discussion (Dr Maša Kovič Dine, Dr Maria Alexandra, Aljoša Petek)
12.25	The way forward (Kathleen Pauleweit, LLM)
12.40	End of the workshop
<i>till 13.00</i>	<i>Questions & Answers</i>

About CIEL, PIC, IPoP & Umanotera

- The [Center za mednarodno in poslovno pravo](#)/Centre for International and Business Law is since 2015 a partner in the European Climate - KIC project Pioneers into Practice
- [PIC - Pravni center za varstvo človekovih pravic in okolja](#)/Legal centre for the protection of human rights and environment is a legal centre for the protection of human rights and the environment with a strong focus around the implementation of the Aarhus Convention in Slovenia and in the European Union. It coordinates the network of [Environmental defenders](#).
- The [IPoP – Inštitut za politike prostora](#)/Institute for Spatial Policies is an advocacy, consulting and research organisation in the field of sustainable spatial and urban development, supporting communities towards sustainable urban development. IPoP is the coordinator of NGOs for sustainable spatial planning in Slovenia - Mreža za prostor.
- [Umanotera – Slovenska fundacija za trajnostni razvoj](#)/The Slovenian Foundation for Sustainable Development has been the leading NGO in the field of sustainable development since its beginning 25 years ago. Umanotera is also a coordinator of a national network of NGOs working in the field of sustainable development – Plan B for Slovenia.



About UfU

- NGO and scientific institute
- Offices in Berlin & Halle (Saale)
- around 40 employees, four departments
- Regional, national, EU & international projects
- Further information:
<https://www.ufu.de/en/>


Energy
Efficiency &
Energy
Transition

Climate
Protection &
Transformative
Education

Nature
Protection &
Environmental
Communication

Environmental
Law &
Participation

European Implementation of the Aarhus Convention in the Digital Age (EU-AarKo)



- Duration: 4/2020 - 12/2021
- Further information:
- <https://www.ufu.de/en/project/eu-aarko/>

Presentation 1

Human Rights & Aarhus Convention in the European Union

Dr Vasilka Sancin, Associate Professor at University of Ljubljana &
Director of Centre for International and Business Law

HUMAN RIGHTS & AARHUS CONVENTION IN THE EUROPEAN UNION

PROFESSOR DR.VASILKA SANCIN,

CENTRE FOR INTERNATIONAL AND BUSINESS LAW (SLOVENIA)

FIFTH AARHUS WORKSHOP

7. SEPTEMBER 2021



STRUCTURE OF THE PRESENTATION

- How are human rights relevant for the discourse on the Aarhus Convention?
- What are the different features of this relationship in the EU context?
- Why is it important that the EU citizens and NGOs have access to EU mechanisms that can conduct a legal review of their complaints?
- What next?

HUMAN RIGHTS & AARHUS CONVENTION (AC)

- The acts of the EU in the environmental sphere impact profoundly the people within and beyond the jurisdiction of its Member States
- Growing body of jurisprudence on human rights and the environment before human rights bodies (domestic courts, regional courts – e.g. ECtHR, IACtHR – and UN human rights treaty-based bodies – e.g. UN Human Rights Committee) litigating human right violations caused by conduct contributing to environmental degradation and climate change
- AC is the first environmental treaty envisaging a right of individuals and civil society organisations (CSOs) to gain access to relevant environmental information, participate in environmental decision-making that can have effect a variety of their human rights and have access to legal remedies – without these rights a number of basic human rights remain manifestly exposed to potential violations at the EU level

HR AND AC IN THE EU CONTEXT

- In addition to the Member States, the EU is a party to the AC in its own right
- EU is a regional international organisation with its own legal personality
- EU (institutions) enjoy(s) immunity before domestic courts – no action against them can be admitted
- EU is a supra-national organisation – Member States have transferred to it the exercise of a significant part of their sovereign rights in many areas – also the ones affected by environmental changes (most of the EU environmental matters are within the shared powers of the EU and its Member States)
- Individuals and CSOs have restricted access to the CJEU – no *actio popularis*

AVAILABILITY OF LEGAL REVIEW FOR COMPLAINTS IN ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS

- Individuals cannot complain of their human rights being affected by the conduct of EU institutions in the environmental area before their domestic courts – consequently, a similar development as with the environmentally inspired human rights (strategic) litigation against the EU is not possible before domestic courts of its Member States
- “Safe heavens” for environmental actions/omissions within the competence of the EU – incentive for transferring more powers to it to the detriment of the environment?
- Mechanisms available at the EU level: internal review and actions before EU courts – uncharted waters: assessment of admissibility of individuals' complaints, development of standards for assessment + effective remedies?

THE WAY FORWARD

- Significant improvements in the amended version of the Aarhus Regulation:
 - compliance of EU legal order with obligations under the AC could provide an important momentum for the EU to confirm it can lead by example in environmental matters
 - Still to be translated into practice
- The modalities of access of individuals to internal review need to be further clarified in practice
- Individuals need to be able to meaningfully exercise their rights under the AC in the EU context – removal of practical and financial barriers (right to an effective remedy)
- The steps for further improvements to be charted after the October 2021 Meeting of the Parties to the AC

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!

Q & A

Contact:

vasilka.sancin@pf.uni-lj.si

Questions

**Human Rights & Aarhus Convention in the
European Union**

Presentation 2

Access to Justice for Climate: Landmark Ruling by the Federal Constitutional Court & its Relevance for the Supranational Legal Order

Dr Roda Verheyen, LLM, Environmental Lawyer of Rechtsanwälte
Günther Partnerschaftsgesellschaft

Questions

Access to Justice for Climate: Landmark Ruling by the Federal Constitutional Court

Slovenian Presidency of the EU Council

Mag Senka Šifkovi Vrbica, Environmental Lawyer at Institute
for Spatial Policies (IPoP)

EEA (2019), The European Environment – state and outlook 2020 Next 10 years

„Achieving the goals of the 2030 agenda for sustainable development and the Paris Agreement will require **urgent action** in each of these areas during the **next 10 years**. To be clear, **Europe will not achieve its sustainability** vision of ‘living well, within the limits of our planet’ simply **by promoting economic growth and seeking to manage harmful side-effects with environmental and social policy tools**. Instead, **sustainability needs to become the guiding principle** for ambitious and coherent **policies and actions** across society. Enabling transformative change will require that **all areas and levels of government work together** and **harness the ambition, creativity and power of citizens, businesses and communities**. In 2020, Europe has a unique window of opportunity to lead the global response to sustainability challenges. Now is the **time to act**.“

Presentation 3

Implications of the Amendment to the Aarhus Regulation & EU Climate Law

Sebastian Bechtel, LLM, Environmental Democracy Lawyer
at ClientEarth Brussels

A person wearing a red jacket and a patterned beanie is seen from behind, raising their right fist in a gesture of protest or solidarity. The background is a blurred city street at night with warm lights and other people.

EU Climate Law & Aarhus Regulation

ClientEarth

ClientEarth

EU Climate Law


Key aspects:

1. Ambition:

- Climate neutrality by 2050
- 55% net emission reductions by 2030

2. Governance:

- 5 “climate mainstreaming” assessments
- Establishing the basis / assess every new measure / progress control
- New scientific body (EUBCC)




ClientEarth

ClientEarth

Some thoughts

- Useful regulatory approach + EUBCC with great potential
- 55% net target by 2030 disappointing
- Impact will largely depend on “Fit for 55” package (implementation)
- Could aid climate litigation efforts by enshrining binding goals in EU Regulation (though collective)



ClientEarth

ClientEarth

Aarhus Regulation amendment

A 13 year long saga (almost) at an end ...



The issue

- Aarhus Regulation: internal review -> access to EU courts
- Currently: applies only to acts of individual scope = mostly certain chemical authorizations



ClientEarth

A big win

- Extension to acts of general scope = non-legislative acts with legal and external effects
- Examples:
 - Glyphosate approval;
 - PCI list approval;
 - Decisions regulating real driving emissions tests for motor vehicles;
 - Decisions setting total allowable catches (TACs) of certain fish stocks.



ClientEarth

Some caveats

- Does not apply to legislative acts;
- State Aid decisions excluded – Commission to prepare study by end of 2022 (+ EC proposes to move decision to next MOP);
- Standing for individuals but very restrictive.



ClientEarth

Impact

- Substantially greater possibilities to challenge acts of EU institutions/bodies, at least for NGOs;
- Focus now on scope & standard of review applied by CJEU.



ClientEarth

Some resources

EU Climate Law:

- [Blog post evaluation](#)
- [Assessment from the Green group in the European Parliament](#)
- [Overview of the Fit for 55 package](#)

Aarhus Regulation:

- [ClientEarth PR with links to resources](#)
- [Text as agreed in trilogues](#)
- [Commission statement on state aid](#)
- [Council draft decision for EU MOP position](#)

Thank you!

Sebastian Bechtel

Environmental Democracy Lawyer

e. sbechtel@clientearth.org

t. +32 (0) 2 808 88 62

ClientEarth, 60 Rue du Trône (Box 11), Brussels, 1050

Questions

**Implications of the Amendment to the Aarhus Regulation
& EU Climate Law**

Panel Discussion 1

Expectations on upcoming MoP 7 & EU's Compliance with the Aarhus Convention

MoP 7 & EU's Compliance with the AC



Mag Tanja Pecelj Vidovič

Focal Point for Aarhus
Convention at the Ministry of
the Environment and Spatial
Planning



Matthias Sauer

Head of Unit of Federal
Ministry for Environment,
Nature Conservation and
Nuclear Safety



Alistair McGlone

Director at Alistair McGlone
and Associates Ltd



Mag Tanja Pecelj Vidovič

Focal Point for Aarhus
Convention at the Ministry of
the Environment and Spatial
Planning

1. Among all the Parties to the Aarhus Convention, the European Union is the only Party with a legal status of an international organization.
2. With the adoption of the amendments to the Aarhus Regulation, the EU has taken a big step, hardly imaginable a few years ago.
3. Post-MOP: Still on the path of reinvention of checks and balances in cases of complex decision-making.

1. The political compromise between the EU Parliament, the Council and the Commission on the amendment of the EU Aarhus Regulation is an important step forward that will strengthen access to justice in environmental matters on the EU level and that will demonstrate the ongoing support of the Aarhus Convention by the EU.
2. At the same time this political compromise has shown that the Member States need more time to fully understand the legal and practical implications of an extension of the review mechanism on state aid decisions as requested by the Compliance Committee.
3. The upcoming MOP 7 will be an excellent opportunity to highlight the importance and the practical application of the rights granted by the Convention even in times of a global pandemic.



Matthias Sauer

Head of Unit of
Federal Ministry for
Environment, Nature
Conservation and
Nuclear Safety



Alistair McGlone

Director at Alistair
McGlone and
Associates Ltd

1. The findings by the Compliance Committee should be adopted with minimal or no amendment. The MOP must “endorse” them, in particular regarding Case C32 and C128.
2. It is imperative to avoid a repeat of the events at the last MoP, at which the EU blocked the endorsement of the C32 findings.
3. The EU should champion accountability and the international rule of law to avoid damaging its reputation as a global leader in environmental forums.

Panel Discussion 2

Public Participation & Access to Justice on EU & Member State Level: Is Climate & Environmental Litigation the Last Resort for European Citizens & NGOs to enhance Environmental Good Governance in the Human-Rights based European Legal System?

Public Participation & Access to Justice on EU & Member State Level



Dr Maša Kovič Dine

Assistant Professor at
University of Ljubljana



**Dr Maria Alexandra de
Sousa Aragão**

Professor at University
of Coimbra, Portugal



Aljoša Petek

Environmental Lawyer at PIC -
Legal Centre for the Protection
of Human Rights and the
Environment

1. Access to environmental information and public participation phases are key for environmental governance.
2. Proper planning and approval procedures that enable active citizenship prevent legal disputes in court and also long-term financial costs of environmental degradation.



Dr Maša Kovič Dine

Assistant Professor at
University of Ljubljana



**Dr Maria Alexandra de
Sousa Aragão**

Professor at University
of Coimbra

1. When everything else fails... litigation is the last desperate attempt.
2. Is litigation the correct tool?
Court litigation produces *inter partes* effects but the entire population, future generations and nonhuman beings will benefit from the desired outcome.
3. Litigation is a lottery. Are there alternatives: predicting the results - is it worth the effort?, trial by jury – citizen juries, mixed environmental courts with law-trained judges and science-trained judges, alternative dispute resolution, ...



Aljoša Petek

Environmental Lawyer at PIC - Legal
Centre for the Protection of Human
Rights and the Environment

1. Maintaining the status quo and business as usual has so far determined worldwide and Slovenian climate (In)action and endangered the future of younger generations.
2. Climate and environmental litigation is necessary to enforce existing law and punish non-compliance.
3. Serious cooperation for environmental protection between older and younger generations should be improved and the youth should be enabled to effectively participate in environmental matters.

Questions

**Public Participation & Access to Justice
on EU & Member State Level**

The Way Forward

Kathleen Pauleweit, LLM, Research associate at the Department Environmental Law & Participation of the Independent Institute for Environmental Issues – UfU e.V.

Important Dates

EU Council Presidency	2020	Events	Location
<i>Croatia</i>	11 May	1 st Aarhus Workshop	<i>virtual</i>
	30 June	2 nd Aarhus Workshop	<i>virtual</i>
<i>Germany</i>	17 Nov.	3 rd Aarhus Workshop	<i>virtual</i>
	2021		
<i>Portugal</i>	24 March	4 th Aarhus Workshop	<i>virtual</i>
<i>Slovenia</i>		Adoption of the amended Aarhus Regulation	/
	7 Sept.	5th Aarhus Workshop	<i>virtual</i>
	16 Sept.	UN Dialogue on International Democracy Day	<i>virtual</i>
	13 Oct.	MoP 7 side event: New Aarhus Regulation	<i>virtual</i>
	18-21 Oct.	7th Aarhus Convention Meeting of the Parties (MoP)	Geneva / hybrid
	21 Oct.	Joint High-level Segment under the MoP and PRTR-Protocol	Geneva / hybrid
	22 Oct.	4 th Meeting of the Parties to the PRTR-Protocol	Geneva / hybrid

Thank you!

The Aarhus Convention supports our future.

INFORMATION – PARTICIPATION - PROTECTION

This workshop is financially supported by



EKO SKLAD
SLOVENSKI OKOLJSKI
JAVNI SKLAD



REPUBLIKA SLOVENIJA
MINISTRSTVO ZA OKOLJE IN PROSTOR



Federal Ministry
for the Environment, Nature
and Nuclear Safety

Contact

Kathleen Pauleweit, LLM
kathleen.pauleweit@ufu.de

Independent Institute for Environmental Issues e.V. – UfU –
Department Environmental Law & Participation
Greifswalder Str. 4
10405 Berlin, Germany

Aljoša Petek
aljosa.petek@pic.si

PIC – Legal Centre for the Protection of Human Rights and the Environment
Metelkova 6
1000 Ljubljana, Slovenija